







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/280,435	03/29/1999	FRANK OCTAAF VAN DER PUTTEN	902-578-2	5737
4955 7	7590 02/05/2003			
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			EXAMINER	
			WILLIAMS, DEMETRIA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2631	
			DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

F.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/280,435	VAN DER PUTEN ET AL.		
Advicery Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Demetria A. Williams	2631		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a hplaces the application in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR				
$2.\!$	ecause:			
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.		
NOTE:				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		dered but does NOT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly		
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a; will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-11,39 and 40</u> .				
Claim(s) objected to: 28,29 and 35.				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-27,30-34,37 and 38</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.		
9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	ot/e)/ PTO 1449) Paper No(e)			

10. Other: ____



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that the STRB signal in the Fraser reference is not a permissive signal. Applicant's argument is based on the embodiment described in figure 4 wherein applicant asserts that the data from the transmitter is just sitting on the bus waiting for the receiver to pick it up. However, applicant has ignored figure 2. In figure 2, no data is present on the bus and waiting to be picked up, but rather the data is sent when the receiver is ready to receive. Further, Fraser states that the rising edge of the STRB signal instructs the transmitter to advance the state of SOC and DAT SOC is then driven high by the transmitter to indicate the start of a new cell. The STRB signal is driven by the receiver to the transmitter when the receiver is ready to accept data. See generally column 3, lines 56-67 through column 4, lines 1-25 and figure 2.

CHI PHAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 2/5/03